G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 601/

Serial Nos:

1927.

( ) Incl 2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for dept 21

SUBJECT.

difficulty against the D C's order re the lea
the income tax demanded to the Sei
& personal Complaint Hussain Sahib

DC Allepey 5/2
4.8.21

Finding as per

G. R. S. III-1] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Muznai)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Lease of the Inam Lands endowed to Sri Anjaneya Devasam Temple on the banks of the Kaveri at Mansoge. Gerdalur Taluk

From

Archaka Seshachar,

No. Petition Dated 25. 3. 20 Received 27-3-1920

Diary No. 1432] Enclos. 4 Spare Copies. – [Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that on a perusal of the records and after hearing the petr, the inam lands may be confirmed to him on Survey assessment, and that pending disposal of his appeal,

NOTES AND ORDERS.

all further sale proceedings in respect of these lands may be stayed.

The petition is duly stamped.

It is for a revision of orders of Govt conveyed in Pro. no. 517-25 dy 29-8-19

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

directing with ref. to the inam land attached to muzrai institns, that no leases should ordinarily be granted for more than 5 years at a time. The above order was communicated to the petr in Taluk endorsement dated 3rd June 1919, against which he petitioned the Dy. Commr on 16th June 1919 and 25th Feby 1920, but in the Sub Divl Officers Endt of 20.2.20, he was informed that the auction sale of the Sritta of Anjaneya temple land was being held under Govt order. He has petd Govt on the subject under date 25.3.20. His contentions are ~~as noted below~~:—

(1) that as temple breaks, the lands have been in the hereditary enjoyment of their family for a number of years past,

(2) that these land were not originally Devadaya, and as such Rules of 1877 are not applicable, that during the Inam settlement of 1879, it was noted that the whole grant was resumable not being borne out by the Mazra accounts, but in consideration of the fact

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

that the Inam had been enjoyed since 1813-14, it was confirmed on a quit-rent of Rs 18/ against an assessment of Rs 23-13-5, and that if the Rules of 1877 are held applicable to this case, as the lands were in the enjoyment of his family for more than 50 years prior to 1813-14 (1864), they have to be left in his possession on payment of assessment,

(3) that he has laid out heavy outlay for the improvement of the lands and has paid contribution, water-rate etc,

(4) that there has been no complaint about this temple till now, that the Inam. Sheristedar who inspected it in 1917 reported that the Archak was competent and popular, and the institution was in a good condition, and that the petr has since executed some petty repairs ~~recommended~~ as directed by him.

He prays that on a perusal of the records connected with the case and after hearing him, the lands in question may be confirmed to him on assessment

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 604 of 191 §

Serial No.

and that the Dy. Commr may be directed to stay further sale proceedings in respect of these lands for reasons given in the last para of the petition viz long enjoyment, without any return for his labor and expenditure incurred on account of the said lands, heavy losses to him etc. He adds that the lands will be taken away from him, before the end of the month, and the case disposed of for a fixed period.

Submitted for orders.

31.3.20

A report with records of this case may be called for

4.4.20

Rosery

Peb Cales, this an appeal but he has not produced a copy of the order appealed against. In this complaint is against an order of the D.C. he should approach the D.C. He may be directed to produce a copy of the order appealed against

5.4.20

5-4-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mis File No. 604 of 1919.

Serial No.

A. Off endt is submtd as directed:

Another petition (D 1984) addressed to the member of Council by Archak Seshachari is placed on the file. The Archak complains that the lands of the temple which have been in the enjoyment of his family for a long time past, are about to be taken away from him, with a view to auction the fields, that the lands are no income to the temple, but only the kandaya herein, that they have laid out heavy amounts for 10 or 12 years past for their improvement etc. He prays that pending disposal of his appeal by Govt, the lands need not be taken away from him, and the Dy. Comm. maybe directed to stay execution of Sale proceedings.

Orders of the member of Council:—

"I shall be glad to know - before the 13th inst if possible - what the position is."

Secretary's order:— Reference submitted for orders

AV 6.4.20.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mur File No. 604 of 1919

Serial No.

against the orders of the local officers who have taken action under the ruling 2 GO No. 577-25/Mur 165-16-8 dy 29 Sept 1916 directing that indefinite leases should be renewed for definite terms which should ordinarily not exceed 5 years at a time.

2. The order appealed against is the S.D.O. Kotli, may ~~he recall for~~ a report with records be called for? or should an Endt: be to the Dy Comr as directed by the Secy: asking for production a copy of the order appealed against? Draft Endt: as directed by the Secy: is however submitted.

The member Council has called for information as to the position in which the matter stands before the 13th instant

Sd 7/4/20 11

10/4/20

To Secy)

12 The portion of G.O. dated 29 August 1917 (Page 10 of the Supplement to the Muzara Manual) material for the present purpose is as follows:-

"The Dy Comrs of Dts are requested to institute an enquiry into all indefinite leases that may now be current and take suitable action

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 609 of 1919.

Serial No.

C

for remuneration for defunct
persons to the best advantage
of the institution concerned"
In Hyderabad has
subsequently explained and
amplified in G.O. dated 25th
Feb 1919 and para 3 of it
which is applicable to Munsif
institutions like the present one
is as follows:-

"If the estimated
surplus in the hands of the servant
in possession, after meeting the
estimate claim for remuneration
in kind and kind is not large, it
will be inexpedient to disturb his
possession and it will suffice if
he is called upon to produce a
reasonable sum each year as
reserve for repairs and occasional
charges, after meeting the fixed
requirements of institutions.
In other cases, the
lands will have to be leased out
to the highest bidder or to the
Talukdar, Pujari or other
responsible person in possession

NOTE—Contn sheet

1932

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mez File No. 004 of 191 §

Serial No.

for a reasonable sum to be
fixed as rent in view to the
various requirements of the
must being met from such rent.
Whether Peter should
be allowed to remain in possession
or be deprived of possession would
depend upon the circumstances
set forth above. If Peter thinks
that in applying this G.O. which
has now laid down that Lugg should
should be turned out, the S.D.O
has committed an error, he
ought to appeal to the D Commr
against the S.D.O's order—
There is no reason
why Lugg should undertake to
do a duty which ought in the
ordinary course to be attended
to by the D Commr
Since Peter has
not approached the D Commr
he can be referred to that officer
Lugg will be allowed to keep the cow
but should he be dissatisfied
with the order of the D Commr
he can
appeal

In any case
let Peter know
decision

EJ 10/4/32

NOTE—Contn. sheet

P.T.O.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

New File No. 604 of 1919

65-14-224
12-4-920.

Serial No.

1 Yes. The Petitioners may be referred to the D.C. in the matter & the papers forwarded to the D.C. for disposal, when he being invited to the G.O. in the matter

Read
13.4.20

12 Draft ref 14/4/20
Amt 14/4

Submitted with draft

15.4.20 Amt 17-4

Issued 19/4/20

Telegram of the 19th inst from Archak Seshachari praying for stay order as the cultivation season has passed.

The Telegram is addressed to the II Member of Council. Member's order on the Telegram:—

"How does this stand at present Please let me know." Dra for appr. & orders.

Submitted
15.4.20 Second Member 19/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

9.7.0

2121

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysz File No. 607 of 191 5

6645-59
19-4-1920.

Serial No.	
	2 Draft approved Rees 20.6.20 Issue at once VJ 20/4/21
4	Do; the Dy. Comr, Mysore Dis. Lr. No. 3618 dd. 21.6.20. Forwards the petition for disposal
5.	To, Archaka Seshachar. Endt No. 3625 dd. 20 Intimates the above
6	From, Archaka Seshachar. Petition dd. 26th July 1920. States with ref. to the Endt. (H.S.) that the Dy. Comr has not disposed of the matter as yet, that the Tahsildar Nanjan placed the temple lands with the produce raised by him, in the hands of the bidders in spite of his protest and on account of Jatra, he seems to have been placed under suspension and threatened with criml prosecution if he enters upon the land and prays that pending orders in his case, the local authorities may be prohibited from taking over.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mus File No. 604 of 19 G.

Serial No.

steps in the matter, and adds that he has utilized the last year's produce towards his pay and pensions
2 The petition is addressed to the H.C. members of Council.
The members under the Council papers may be put up only
The Secretary to the Government
The memorial enclosing the memorial
Seal in connection with a memorial
certified by H. H. the Maharaja
and These papers have been
transmitted to the
the Home Department in the
Secretariat papers. The rules
not mentioned in the
Section
(1) The temple land
The time of
Land Revenue
labour
It may
Contribution
will
& respectfully

Add Secretariat Dept. Nos 446-447 - both list. X

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 604 of 19 [illegible]

Serial No.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 604 of 19 19

Serial No.

By [illegible] Section that the
aspect of the case has
[illegible] consideration.—
It may, therefore, be possible
for [illegible] to
[illegible] for under
[illegible]
[illegible]

The rules in force on the subject
are contained in G.O.No. 577-28/
mor: 115-16-8 & 29th August 1917 as explained
in G.O.No. 2594-602/mor 366-18-2, dated
the 28th Oct. 1919. The archak who has
been in such long enjoyment of the lands
in question need not have been dispossessed
of them; ~~under these orders~~ but a fair sum
might have been fixed for being given for the
temple maintenance and the lands retained
in his possession. The archak says that
the lands over which he has incurred a
large outlay together with the crops which
he had raised at so much cost have
been handed over to the possession of
the highest bidder. He has caused
him a great deal of hardship and loss
and the R.t prays for a stay & Proc:

(Vide pp 9-10 in the first supplement of the Mysr. manual

(Vide pp 37-38 in the 2nd Supplt. of the Mysr. manual.

Please put up the original files
[illegible]
31-7-20

[illegible]
31/7/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. Revs File No. 604 of 1919

Serial No.

till his case is settled by Govt.

This seems to be a fit case for a Stay Prop till the case is settled. The Pet. seems, I have ~~[illegible]~~ case in his favour and his prayer for orders to stay Proc. may perhaps be considered.

Orders are solicited in the matter, and also in the matter of posting the case for hearing.

31/7/20

Here is another set of Concepts in connection with this same subject — Fle. L-74-of 19. Latest to this Settlt — & put up herewith

The Dy Commr Calicut in his Office No. 376 3618 dy. 21 April 1920 has not been used yet —

But the Revenue has already reported on the case in his No. 424 dy 7-4-20.. And with it he has sent the records of enquiry and

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 601 of 19 4

Serial No.	
	On the Tahsildar's Report, Copy of the Inam Register pertaining to the part of the lands in Calicut; the Inam Supt has sent it —.
71 Y.	Perusing the Register, it will be seen that Old Survey Nos. 446 & 447 have been confirmed as Devadaya Inam of the Temple, subject to a payment of Rs 18. as Jodi Combined Quit Rent
71 Z.	Perusing pp 14 & 15 of the Inam Register of Kurakkai Inams, it will be seen that the two Old Survey Nos. 446 & 447. have formed 3 Sy. Nos - at the Survey Settlement. as hereunder: assessment Inst No 330 - A. 3.1 Rs 22-0-0. Dom, 336. 1-6. 1-4-0 " 339 3-38. 5-0-0 9-35- 28-4-0 And in-absence of Rs 2. in favour as Inam Kasci, the Old quit-rent on the lands is Rs 20. It is There is thus an addn of Rs 2 as Inam Kasci.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 604 of 19 19

Serial No.

H.J.

~~Hepet D: From the Ven Records,~~

(13) Perusing the Ven Records and with R.C.S Report, it will be seen that the Govt in Their Report of 26.2.20 States that the lands measuring Nos 330, 336 & 339. pay Rs 43-8-11 as income of Govt, Water-rate &c —. But no details are given —. Presumably, the lands Nos 336 & 339. are now under cultivation as regards of Visandi — A Specific Report in this point may be called for. — ~~Is Evidence~~ So to ascertain, when & where in Pasture the Visandi was Cultivated & ~~whether~~ whether temporarily or permanently

//

The Petrs representation is that (a) according to Col 19 of Inam Reg. the ~~Pasture~~ lands were Inam Dumala Lands & that be the Petrs or any Manned the lands by Adigaram or his

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 604 of 19 14

9

Serial No.

(5)

This representation is not supported by the entries in Col 19 of the Key Y. (H. 4) 0070 for Kāmā Supt - from which it will be seen that the insurance was originally invalid - not supported by Stym accounts - was confirmed in consultation & lay employment -.

The 1st Respt. representation is that he misjoined the lands on a large outlay -.

This, he did, in his own interest - & can not give him a claim to hold the lands permanently to the injury of the interests of the Institution

However, the Ag Collector Calicut (in H(4)) may be invited; - and a reminder forwarding the present Rep may also be sent -

Chitty
2/1911

(Wolley)

NOTE --Contn. sheet.

2264 18

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 604 of 19 19

Serial No.

No report has been called for in flag W (i.e the letter dated 21-4-1920) 4/8/20

I regret I have made a mistake - The pet[n] has been forwarded to Govt for disposal. Govt. the pet[n] have before them the report of the Revenue Commr, Flx Collr.

Torsen) 4/8/20

In para 21 supra the Speaker has ordered that the petn may be referred to the Dy Commr for disposal. Has the petn been disposed of since then by the Dy Commr and has a copy of his order been produced?

7/8/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Home File No. 604 of 19 19

Serial No.

[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inward File No. 604 of 1919

Serial No.	
7.	To, D.C. Mysore town No. 730 Dy. 24.8.20. Forwards the above petn. for very early disposal in Contn. of W.P. No. 3024 Reminder petition Dy 16.8.20 from Archak Seshachar praying for early orders in the matter. The petition is addressed to the Member in Council, and has been received with the following order – " I shall like to be informed how this case stands." Submitted HM 25.8.20 BB 25/8/20 CMT 26/8/20. Seen) The previous petns have been forwarded to the Dy Commr for disposal (Secd Member) V 26/8/20
332.33 28-8-20.	The D.C. may be has been disposed of & the file has not been called for & is HEC Draft 28/31/8/20 CMT 1.9.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 606 of 1915

Serial No.

Dft Intimn
W/ q.no 82 28/1/20 Dr Asst 28/1/20

1 66 (Dsey)
Asst 28/1/20 Asst

To, the Dy Comr Nellore
Letter no. 902 R. Dis. -
Enquires what action was taken on Secretariat letter

9 From, H R Subba Rao and others of Hansage yedatore Taluk,
Petition dt 27.8.20.
Pray that with a view to keep up the usual Services etc in the temple of Sri Anjaneya Swami at the place, the usual Cauri ordained to the insti may be restored to the Brahmi Sevakaru

by the petition is addressed to the H'ble member of Council.

Submitted
27.9.20 Let this may be sent to the Dy Comr in Cuttack and the report called for Asked to sent up before the End of this Month
28/9/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 661 of 19

Serial No.	
8	The Revenue Surveyor requested to expedite the disposal of the question. His report may be awaited. (Sd.) [illegible] 17/8/20 Asst.
10	From the Revenue Surveyor, Letter No 218 dt 8-12-20 Before this receipt the circumstance under which he directed the sale of the fruits of the immovable lands endowed to the Dargah Inami Tarique at Hire Gopalpur Dumka. To the Commissioner stating the facts of the case. Orders solicited as to whether this appeal may be posted for hearing. Submitted.
11	11/1 16-12-20 Office requested also to furnish a copy of the L-R-4 of 1919- which contains the copy of Inam Registered

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 504 of 1920

Serial No.	
	In the Assam Supt. also the report of the Accountant
Flx-	26.12.20.
	Secy)
	72 May this be posted for Meeting? Second Member) WJ 28/12/20
3999-191. 28.12.20.	73 I think this Dy. C's report is clear in the matter & there is really no need to keep this file. But there is no harm in any case an Off. memo to issue this day. Let the Secretary see. 30.12.20 WJ 31/12/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 604 of 1919.

Serial No.	
11	Inam, Ramachar, Hansoge, Petition by 16-12-20. State that he obtained the lease for 5 years of the inam land endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Hansoge subject to the payment of an annual rent of 60 Kandays, and prays that the lease may be recommended for reasons stated.
74	[illegible] the Officer has said for 60 Kandays annual rent on land, that the enclosed petition from the Cultivators of the village, that the land is commanded by the supply of water that the annual rent is only 27 Kandays, and that he has, since the lease land to the extent for 33 Kandays. He therefore prays that 33 Kandays may be received from him for the current year. And the future of the land, either of otherwise from the next year and that he does not want the land. The case will be posted for hearing on this hearing

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. GR1 of 19 19

Serial No.

to The appellant - Archaka Servants
and the lessee - the judgment de-
Rama Chari

Sirkari
[illegible] 7.1.21

Pleader requested to [illegible]
the petn may be heard
& the hearing ordered

[illegible] 12.1.21

(Uday)

Case may be listed
[illegible] 12.1.21
[illegible] 14-1-21

Subsequent hist. notices

[illegible] 7.3.21 [illegible] 7.6.21

Case came on for hearing this day.
Parties present. Respondent - who
appears to be in an unsatisfactory
state of health - represented that he
has offered his bid on a mistaken under

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1382

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 604 of 19 24

Serial No.

Standing & that he might be relieved in view of his poor State of health It seems to me that before granting the lease in favour of an outsider the applicant who has had the enjoyment of the lands for many years might have been afforded an opportunity of taking it upon himself on a fresh Municipality. The ~~lease~~ new ~~lease~~ effected will be cancelled & granted afresh to the best advantage of the Temple, preference being given to the applicant. other conditions being equal.

Rec
18.7.24
U/S 18/7/24

D/F & O. Submitted
W 22.7.24 RL 28/7/24
First Member U/S 28/7/24

706-354
30.7.24

Draft approved
Rec
1.8.24
Issue
U/S 1/8/24

NOTE—Contn. sheet

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 604 of 1918.

Serial No.	
12	To, the Mysore Copy of Decree No 512 dy. 4.8.1921 directing the Cancellation of the Lease now effected of the Lands of Sri Venkatesa Swamy at Kanakapura & the same granted afresh to the best advantage of the Temple, preference being given to the Appellant Srikantha Char

NOTE—Contn sheet

UBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.. .....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
the 191

Date of issue..... 21.4.20

Signed by.. D.E Gopalarama Aiyer
ASSt

Section Inam
File No. 604-19
Serial No. 4

No. 3618 Duplicate
Telegram
4 enclosures
including two certified
C.T. Inam Regr.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for disposal petitions and telegram, Registered Nos. 1932, 1984 and 2121 Despatched from Archak Seshachar complaining that the right of cultivating the inam lands endowed to Sri Anjaneyaswami temple on the banks of the Cauvery at Hansoge, Yedatore taluk, is being ~~given~~ put up to sale by public auction.

In this connection, I am to invite reference to the instructions given in G.O.No. 2594-602/Muz 366-18-2 dated 25th Feb. 1919 in the matter of the

the lease of land endowed to

Muzrai Institutions.

I have etc.,

19/4/20

Secretary, Muzrai Deptt.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—3,000—19-3-19

2690

19.20

Office of the Superintendent,

Mysore Revenue Survey,

Bangalore, Dated, 18 June 1920.

No. 235

From

D. K. Narasnah, Esq., B.A.,

Superintendent of Revenue Survey
and Settlements in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

T. R. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore,

Mulgrai Department.

Sir,

As requested in your letter No.41C1/M.R.4-19, dated 31st May 19 C, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register of lands belonging to Sri Anjaneya-devaru temple at Hemasoge village, Yedatore Taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient servant,

Superintendent.

Register of Inam in the village of Hanagoge Inm in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of survey or subdivision of survey in the village	General description of land in the village	Survey No. and sub-division of field or holding occupied by the Inamdar	Extent	
			Local measure Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5

Hanasoge in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE ANI	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, etc, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
Pap. 1 an P -1-15 = 23-15-6			11-10-2

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND		
Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poonmah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
		Taluk chitta of the year 1820-21 Anjaneyadevaru temple near the river. Nyamavode R. R. 15 P 2-15 2-14-8 5-4-8 Jodi 2-7-4 Kanapuram 2-9-4 2-9-7 Jodi 1 2-12 3-3-12
		Taluk chitta of the year 1824 Sri Anjaneyadevaru R R P Neck 3-3-12 Jodde 2-9-4 1-12-12 Inequitable 2-16-8 2-7-4 4-

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the main has been subdivided, the name, etc of each share should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders. possession how obtained and in what year
15	16	17	18
Dodda Haradasa village — near the River Anjaneyadevaru Manager Protem.			

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

1. Whole grant - Invalid, not being borne out by the Huzur accounts and as such resumable -

2. It will be seen from the amils' Taheed quoted in col: 11. that the Inam has been enjoyed since the year 1813-14 and if the Inam Superintendent is pleased to take long enjoyment into Consideration and confirm the Inam, the ½ quitrent will be Rs 6-1-7 + ~~Rs~~ 11-10-2 or 18 Rs under Rule I Compulsory.

(Sd) D. Srinivasangya
26.4.79.

1. No Taheed is quoted in col: 11. Where is it? Show it here
(Sd) Robert Cole.
10.4.80.

2. Confirmed as a c.g.R.g to 87-.
(Sd) Robert Cole.
14.9.80.

Copy
Wilson
Superintendent of Survey & Inam
Settlement Mysore

11.6.1920.

GPB—1,000 books of 2 sheets each—9 8.06.

ఎంత త్రాగుబోతు తాగి చచ్చినా
వళ్ళు ఉబ్బుతుంది అంటారు కదా
తర్వాత కోలుకుంటాడని

నేటి పేపర్లలో చూసినట్లయితే
ఇతను కూడా ఈ చర్యలు
చూచాయగా తీవ్రమవుతున్నాయి
నిన్న 26.3.20 సాయంత్రం 3 గం.
లోపు కాళ్ళ దగ్గర ఎలాగైనా మన్నా
1.4.20 లోపు కాళ్ళ దగ్గరకొస్తాడు
అన్నాడని చదువుతుంటే నవ్వొచ్చి
నవ్వుతూ తీసుకోగలిగితే
ధన్యుడవుతాడు -

1/4/20

By order
1/4/20
Secretary,
Muz. Dept

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Section

File No. 5-04-Dy

Serial No. 7

No. 7/30 c pr to
la via Vice fp

To

The Dy. Comr.,
Mysore Dist.

Sir

In Comn of This Office
letter no. 3618 Dy 21-4-20
forwarding for disposal
petitions ~~regd no~~ from Archa.
Seshachar complaining of
the sale by public auction,
of the right of cultivating
the Inam lands enjoyed by
Sri Anjaneya Swami temple

over.

at Hanbage in the Gedaba Taluk,
I am directed to forward
herewith another petition
Regd. no. 234 from the said
individual, and to request
that the matter may be
disposed of at a very early
date.

(2) The Revenue records in the
Subject received with Rev.
Comm's letter no. 426 dy
April 1920 are herewith
returned.

Thanking
24/8/20

Dy Secretary, Inam Depn
14/8/20

Encld. no. as above

Copy of the Rev 731
Comm in Mysore

List of enclosures.

Dy Secretary,
to Inam Depn
14/8/20

Copied by

Examined by

D86—GPB—60,000—15 11 16

Cuttings.

File No 602

Serial No. 85

Diary No. of letter replied to 454

No. 7/2 Secretariat

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19

To

The Registrar,
Mysore

Date of issue 3 / 20

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

In continuation of the marginal note Communication from the office forwarding for disposal petitions from Sreehari Venkata Complaining of the sale of the Mutt-lands endowed to Sri Rajmaya Swamy temple at Hariage, Gowribidanur Taluk, directed to forward herewith the petition referred to in the 2nd marginal note on the same subject, and to report, to be informed if the matters were been disposed of [illegible]

By order

[illegible]

over.

no. 3618 Dy 21 4--20
Fwg 604-19

730 Dy 24-8-20
Fwg 604 19

SUBJECT.

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—6,000—1.5 09

Forwarded with Compliments
The Manager Secretary



ಪೂರಕ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಲು ವಡನಬೊಕ್ಕಾಸೆ ಗ್ರಾಮಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯಿಂದ

ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ

(1) ಈ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಗುಂಡುತೋಪು ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಉಪಯೋಗಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂಬ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

(2) ಸದರಿ ಗುಂಡುತೋಪು ಜಾಗವು ವಡನಬೊಕ್ಕಾಸೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 330, 336, 339 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿದ್ದು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಂದಿಕೊಂಡಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಾಗವಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಅನಾದಿಕಾಲದಿಂದಲೂ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರು ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರಿಂದ ಯಾವುದೇ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಗ್ರಾಮಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯವರು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

(3) ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲು ಯಾವುದೇ ತಕರಾರು ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ ಪೂರ್ವಾನುಮತಿ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾನೂನು ತೊಡಕು ಉಂಟಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

(4) ಇದಾಗಿ ಈ ಮೊದಲು ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಕೈಚೀಟಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವು ಇಳಿಜಾರು ಪ್ರದೇಶವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಕಟ್ಟಲು ಅಡಿಪಾಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ 24-0-0 ಅಡಿ ಆಳ ಅಗೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಹೊಂದಿಕೊಂಡಂತೆ 12-0-0 ಅಡಿ ಕೈಚೀಟಿಗೆ 6-0-0 ಅಡಿ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ 18-0-0 ಅಡಿ ಆಳ ಅಗೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

(5) ಈ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 336-339 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 14-15 ಅಡಿ ಆಳದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀರಿನ ಒರತೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಕಟ್ಟಲು ಅಡಿಪಾಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅಗೆಯಲು ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಜಾಗವನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಲ್ಲಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಕಟ್ಟಲು ಅಡಿಪಾಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ 18-0-0 ಅಡಿ ಆಳ ಅಗೆಯಲು

12.57

D-21

Rev

604-19

10

1920-21.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dist'

To The Secretary
to the Govt of H.H. Maharaja
of Mysore, now at Deputy
Bangalore.

Dated 7th December 1920.

Despatched December 1920.

Received Mysore Secr.

General No. Rf A pl. No. 272 of 19
No.

Enclosure No. one file.

Submits report on the petition of Archak
Seshachar, complaining of the sale of Enam
lands endowed to Sri Ayyanna
Temple a

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 7th November 1920.

From

P.F. Bowring, Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No.902/Muz.604-19-8 dated the 3rd September 1920 forwarding for report petitions from Archak Sesha Char, complaining of the sale of Inam lands endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple at Hanasoge, Yedatore Talhk, I have the honor to submit herewith the vernacular correspondence ending with the Mysore Sub Division Officer's Memo No.H.Di or H.C.1874/18-19 dated 12th November 1920. From the above correspondence it will be seen that the temple referred to above is a Minor Muzrai Institution and is endowed with lands bearing S.Nos. 330, 336 and 339 of the village, of the total extent of 9 acres and 35 guntas and assessed at Rs.43-8-11.

The scale of expenditure of the temple in question was revised by the Deputy Commissioner during 1918 and the Inam lands which are endowed to the temple were ordered to be leased out at an auction sale for a period of five-years. Thereupon the Amildar took action to lease the lands.

From the scale of Receipt and Expenditure drawn up, it is seen that the temple has no other income except from the Inam

Inam lands endowed to it and the income is fixed at 32 candies of paddy valued at Rs.240/- (calculated @ 7-8-0 a candy).

The expenditure proposed in respect of Nityakatle and Hetchukatle Services including the establishment and Kardayam on the Inam lands works up to Rs.204/- leaving thus a sum of Rs.36/- towards reserve amount for repairs etc.

When the sale of the lease was notified by the Taluk authorities, the petitioner Seshachar presented an application before this office on 17-6-1919 praying that the lease may not be sold by public auction etc, The matter was referred to the Sub Division Officer, Mysore. He reports that the annual yield of the land is 32 candies of paddy which at the prevailing rates is worth Rs.580/- or more, that after deducting the annual expenditure of Rs.204/- the amount that will go to the reserve fund is Rs.376/- as against Rs.36/- shown in the budget scale, that the rate of 7-8-0 a candy taken into account at the time of the revision is too low and may not come into force for years to come and opines that the land being Inam endowed to the temple, should not be left in possession of the Archak who has been given a fair pay for his wages.

As regards the Archak's contention that he has improved the lands at great expense, the Sub Division Officer states that if the fact is substantially true, he must have recouped the amount during the long period he has remained in enjoyment of the land.

I fully concur in the opinion of the Sub Division Officer. Also, in view of the fact that Inam lands are endowed to the temple, mainly for its upkeep and regular conduct of worship therein and contrary to this, as it is reported that the archak (the present petitioner) has brought the temple to a regular

condition, misappropriating for his personal use much of the temple income, which is quite opposed to the objects of Government, I do not see any reason why the Archak in question should not be dispossessed of the lands and the lease sold out by public auction. Foreseeing this case the Inam lands was nearly doubled.

For reasons given above, the Sub Division Officer has in the interest of the Institution itself, leased out the lands under reference by public auction for a period of five years at 60 candies of paddy by imposing the terms fixed in the revised scale which is in force and confirmed.

The Archak will of course get his pay for his wages in accordance with the sanctioned scale.

The petition received with your letter under reply is returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

AGV No. 1309

To The Municipal Secretary
Kistna Coupl. Municipality
Ellore

TWO RUPEES

SERIAL No.

18. 12. '20.

3488-78.
20-12-20.

matter of a previous representation from the Srame petitioner. I should like to be informed how this case stands.

Rec'd 17th Aug 20.

No. 547-262
21-7-20.

Ref already 24/8/20

0-59
17-8-20.

4574

ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಅಂಬಳೆಯ ಮಾದೇವರ ಅಳಿಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿಹಳ್ಳಿ [illegible] 20-21

ಸೀಲ್ಲಂಡರ್ ದೇವರಾಜ ಕೆಳಗಿನವರು ನಮ್ಮ ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ

ನಕಲಿ ಸಹಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಇದು ನಮ್ಮ ಸಹೋದರ

ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ವಿಧವಾ ಸಹೋದರಿಯನ್ನು ವಂಚಿಸಿ, ಸೊಂಡಲು ಸ್ವೀಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ

ಸ್ವಾಧೀನ ವಿಷಯ ಎಂದು ಸುಳ್ಳು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಸಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ

ಕೊಂಡು ಇವರು 26-7-20 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ನಕಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಹಿಂತೆಗೆ

ದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಸ್ವೀಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳು, [illegible] ಅಳಿಯಂದಿರ ಪ್ರತಿಗಳು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು

ಮೂರು ಪ್ರತಿಗಳು ಇವೆಯೆಂದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯವರನ್ನು ಕರೆಸಿ

ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಡಿ.

ಸದರಿ ವಿಷಯ, ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವು ಸರಕಾರದ ಆಡಳಿತ

ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿಯವರು ಕಂದಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂನೆ ಇದೆ.

ಇದಾಗಿ ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿ. ಇದಂತೆಯೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು

ಎಂದು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಸಹಿ

ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ

ಇನ್ನು ತಡ ನಿಂತರೂ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳು ಹೊರಡುವಿಲ್ಲ.

ದೇವರ ಇದಂತೆಯೆಂದು ಸರಿಯಾದ ದಾಖಲೆಯಾಗಿ

ದವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯವಿದ್ದರೆ ಸುಮಾರು 1867 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಗೆ ಹೊ

ರಲು ಸಂವತ್ಸರಗಳು ಆದುದಿದ್ದರೆ. ಅಂದ್ರವರೆಗೆ 1877

ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲಿಯಾದರೂ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಅಂದಿನ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ

ಗಳು ಹಿಂದಿನವರಾಗಿ ಕಾನೂನು ಅನುಭವಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿ

ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರಿಂದ ಸಹಿ ಪಡೆದು ಕೊಂಡು

ಅಂದಿನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ [illegible]

ఆంధ్రపత్రిక సంపాదకులకు వినతి,

ఆంధ్రపత్రిక ఆదివారం సంచికలో ప్రచురించిన, మా ఊరి చుట్టూ ఉన్న కొండలు అనే వ్యాసం చదివాను. ఆ వ్యాసంలో రచయిత ఆ కొండలు ఎలా ఏర్పడ్డాయో, వాటి చుట్టూ ఎన్ని ఊళ్ళు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ ఊళ్ళలో ఎంతమంది ప్రజలు ఎన్ని రకాల వృత్తులు చేస్తూ జీవిస్తున్నారో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల వృక్షాలు, మొక్కలు, పొదలు, తీగలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల పక్షులు, జంతువులు, కీటకాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల ఖనిజాలు, లోహాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల ఔషధ మొక్కలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల పుట్టగొడుగులు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల పండ్ల చెట్లు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల పూల చెట్లు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల ఆకుకూరలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల కాయగూరలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల ధాన్యాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల పప్పుధాన్యాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల నూనెగింజలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల చిరుధాన్యాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల దుంపలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల వేళ్ళు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల ఆకులు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల పూలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల కాయలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల పండ్లు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల విత్తనాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల జీవులు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల మనుషులు ఉన్నారో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల ఆలోచనలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల భావాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల అనుభవాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల జ్ఞాపకాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల కథలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల పాటలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల నృత్యాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల నాటకాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల సినిమాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల కవిత్వాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల సాహిత్యాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల శాస్త్రాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల కళలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల సంస్కృతులు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల నాగరికతలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల చరిత్రలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల పురాణాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల ఇతిహాసాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల కావ్యాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల ప్రబంధాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల శతకాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల గేయాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల వచనాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల పద్యాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల గద్యాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల వ్యాసాలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల కథలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల నవలలు ఉన్నాయో, ఆ కొండల మీద ఎన్ని రకాల కవిత

in the village were being cultivated by Sanyasi Char & Seshachar
Conducting car festival, feeding of Brahmins etc. & bring to notice that the
persons to whom the above lands are now leased lightly do not attend to this
& the temple affairs are neglected - Pray that the above lands may be leased
to Archakas & Sthanigar for the interests of the Temple -

DEWAN

Date of Receipt 9.9.24

364

ಮೈಸೂರು ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ದಿವಾನ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಗೆ

ಈಕೆಳಕಂಡವರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆಯೇನೆಂದರೆ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಅದಾಗಿ

(1) ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಅಂಜನೇಯನದೇವರಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಅಂಜನೇಯಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಪೂಜಾ ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಪುರಾತನ ಕಾಲದಿಂದಲೂ ಅಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುತ್ತಿದೆ.

(2) ಸದರಿ ಅಂಜನೇಯಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರುಗಳಾದ 333, 336, 339ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಇದೀಗ ಊರು ಯಜಮಾನರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವ ಅರ್ಚಕರು ಇವರುಗಳಿಂದ ವಿಚಾರಿಸಲಾಗಿ ಅವರುಗಳು ಅನಾದಿಕಾಲದಿಂದಲೂ ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ, ವಜ್ಜಾನದವರು ಮತ್ತು ಇತರೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಆಯಾಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ದೇವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಕೆ ಹೊತ್ತುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪೂಜೆ, ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯವಾದಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿನಿತ್ಯವೂ ಪೂಜೆಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಸ್ವಾಮ್ಯದ ಜಮೀನುಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತವೆ.

(3) ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂಜಾ ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಪರಿಹಾರದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಭವಿಷ್ಯತ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಜಮೀನುಗಳಿಗೆ ಪರ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ಬೇರೆ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನುಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಚಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀವೈಷ್ಣವರಿಗೆ ಶಾಶ್ವತವಾಗಿ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ ಮೂಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಇಸಾಂಬೆಚರ್ವೆಂಟ್ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಜಮೀನುಗಳಿಗೆ ನಿಸ್ತಾರ್ ತೀರ್ವೆಂಟ್ ಸಹಿತ ಅಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಇನಾಂ ಆಗಿ 24-0-0 ಮತ್ತು ಪೈಕಿ ಕಡಿ 12-0-0 ಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ವಿಟ್‌ರೆಂಟ್ 6-1 ಆಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಮಣ್ಣು 18-0-0 ಮತ್ತು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

(5) ಈ ಪೈಕಿ ಸರ್ವೆ 336-339ಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸಂಬಳುಗಳು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 14-15ರ ಮತ್ತು ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡಂತೆ ಪೂಜಾ ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ ಮೂಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಡಲು ಮತ್ತು ಪರ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ಬೇರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಮತ್ತು ಅರ್ಚಕರಿಗೆ ಶಾಶ್ವತವಾಗಿ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಮತ್ತು ಅಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಅನುಭವದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ 18-0-0 ಮತ್ತು ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಪಾವತಿ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

P 21.12.20

To the Chief Secretary. The Subjects
SECTION News papers will be exhibited
FILE No 104-20-21
WALL No

Before the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

Inam Appeal No. 5 of 1920-21.

Appellant.	Vs	Respondent
Archak Seshachar of Hansoge, Yedatore Taluk		Ramachar of Hansoge.

Subject.

Appeal against the Dy. Comr's. order regarding the lease of the inam lands endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Hansoge, Yedatore Taluk.

On the strength of G.O. no. 514-25/Inam. 15-16-8 Dy Mysore dtd 29th August 1917, the Dy. Commr. ordered the leasing out of the inam lands endowed to Sri Anjaneya Swami temple at Hansoge, and at an auction sale held on 21st April 1920,

GPE—1,000—8-8-11

Ramachar took it up
5 years from 1920-1 on an an
Sulia of 60 candies of paddy.
Against the Dy. Commrs. order confirming
the said sale, ~~the appeln who is the kanapl~~ Seshachar the archak of the temple
~~Archak~~, has appealed to Govt praying
that he ~~may~~ be allowed to continue in possession
of the lands as heretofore.

The case was heard by Govt on 18th
July 1921, the parties being present
in person.

The respt Ramachar who appeared
to be in an unsatisfactory State of
health represented that he had offered
his bid on a mistaken understanding
and that he might be relieved in
view of his poor state of health.
Before granting the lease in favor
of an outsider, the apelt Seshachar
who had had the enjoyment of the
lands for many years might
have been afforded an opportunity

R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

of taking it up himself on a fresh mucharika Govt. Therefore direct that the lease now effected be cancelled and granted afresh to the best advantage of the ~~same~~ temple, preference being given to the applicant these conditions being equal.

Memo no. 284
Secy — Coll — 13 — 12

Copy to the Dy. Commr, Myd. Since D.C's letter no. 2782 8-12-1920.

Recd 1-8-21

OB-C
W 29/7/21
Asst. Secy
DC-3
29.7.21

WI 2230—GPB—1 00,000—23 7 20